


## FEEDBACK ANALYSIS – DEPARTMENT OF BOTANY (2021-2024)

### Department Evaluation : Botany II semester (2021-2022)

Sl.No	Criteria	Percentage
1	Academic atmosphere	83
2	Accuracy of internal assessment	81
3	Classroom facility	83
4	Library facility	85
5	Laboratory facility	91
6	ICT enabled classroom facility	80
7	Teacher student relationship	93
8	Conducting extension activities/ workshops/seminars	86
9	Participation in teaching learning process	86
10	Overall performance of teachers	98
11	Overall rating of department	94




Principal  
**PRINCIPAL**  
**SREE NARAYANA COLLEGE**  
**SIVAGIRI, VARKALA**

## Department evaluation and action plan taken report of II semester students of 2021-22

Feedback for Department analysis was collected from II semester Botany students. Areas need special attention included ICT enabled classroom facility and improvement of teacher - student relationship, participation in teaching learning method and accuracy of internal assessment. The higher than results obtained were completely mentioned within the Department level meeting and correct measures were taken to boost the conditions.

### Curriculum Evaluation : Botany II semester (2021-2022)

Sl.No	Criteria	Percentage
1	Depth of syllabus	90
2	Extend of coverage of Program	85
3	Relevance of study	86
4	Learning values in terms of knowledge , skills and abilities	89
5	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	85
6	Relevance of library material	89
7	Motivation generated to go for higher studies	90
8	Taking care of student's requirements	84
9	Relevance of curriculum in empowering students	87
10	To take up challenges of modern life	88
11	Overall rating of curriculum	90



Principal  
**PRINCIPAL**  
**SREE NARAYANA COLLEGE**  
**SIVAGIRI, VARKALA**

## **Curriculum evaluation and action plan taken report of II semester BSc Botany students of 2021-22**


Analysis of the above results high lightened the areas where improvement is needed. They included empowerment of students, taking care of student requirements etc. The matter was discussed in the Department level meeting and measures were taken to bring the attention of the Board of studies in the above matters.

### **Feedback Analysis of Faculty : Botany II semester (2021-2022)**

<b>criteria</b>	<b>Average</b>
Subject knowledge	94
Communication skill	89
Classes interesting	85
Testing student knowledge	88
Clearing doubts	86
Well prepared	88
Covering all portions	89
Evaluate test papers and assignments	88
Using modern technology	87
Sincere and dedicated	91
Class control	89
Punctuality	88
No partiality	87
Job oriented education	87
Special care to weaker students	85
Help and guidance	87
Curricular and extra curricular advice	84
Kind	88
Additional hours	87
Overall	90



Principal  
**PRINCIPAL**  
**SREE NARAYANA COLLEGE**  
**SIVAGIRI, VARKALA**



### Teacher evaluation and action taken report of II semester BSc Botany students 2021-22


Teacher evaluation was collected from the second semester Botany students of 2021-22. Analysis of the info unconcealed those sure areas like giving effective recommendation to curricular& extra-curricular activities, giving special care to weaker students, Usage of contemporary techniques want special attention. The matter was mentioned very well within the department level meeting and conjointly with Principal and acceptable measures were taken.



Principal  
**PRINCIPAL**  
 SREE NARAYANA COLLEGE  
 SIVAGIRI, VARKALA

**FEEDBACK ANALYSIS–DEPARTMENT OF BOTANY(2021-2022)**  
**Department Evaluation: Botany IV semester (2021-2022)**

Sl. No	Criteria	Excellent	very good	good	average	poor
1	Academicatmosphere	83	13	4	0	0
2	Accuracyof internal assessment	87	9	4	0	0
3	Classroomfacility	93	6	0	0	0
4	Libraryfacility	79	16	4	0	0
5	Laboratoryfacility	72	14	9	0	0
6	ICTenabledclassroomfacility	81	9	6	0	0
7	Teacherstudentrelationship	83	4	8	0	0
8	Conductingextensionactivities/worksh ops/seminars	79	4	9	0	0
9	Participationinteachinglearningprocess	75	16	4	0	0
10	Overallperformanceofteachers	87	4	4	0	0
11	Overall ratingofdepartment	83	13	4	0	0




## Department evaluation and action plan taken report of IV semester students of 2021-22:

Feedback for Department evaluation was collected from IV semester B.Sc. Botany students. Areas needed special attention includes participation in teaching-learning method and facility of library and laboratory. The matter was discussed in the Department level meeting and measures were taken for the improvement of above mentioned areas.

### Curriculum Evaluation : Botany IV semester (2021-2022)

Sl. No	Criteria	Excellent	very good	good	average	poor
1	Depth of syllabus	72	28	9	0	0
2	Extend of coverage of Program	69	28	9	5	0
3	Relevance of study	75	16	4	0	4
4	Learning values in terms of knowledge, skills and abilities	72	10	9	9	0
5	Clarity & relevance of textual reading material	69	10	13	5	0
6	Relevance of library material	63	19	14	7	0
7	Motivation generated to go for higher studies	71	10	16	0	0
8	Taking care of student's requirements	62	25	9	0	0
9	Relevance of curriculum in empowering students	58	28	13	0	0
10	To take up challenges of modern life	64	16	10	0	0
11	Overall rating of curriculum	79	5	10	0	0




**Curriculum evaluation and action plan taken report of IV semester BSc Botany students of 2021-22:**

Analysis of the above results high lightened the areas where improvement is needed. They included empowerment of students, to take up challenges of modern life, taking care of student requirements etc. The matter was discussed in the Department level meeting and measures were taken to bring the attention of the Board of studies in the above matters.

**Feedback Analysis of Faculty : Botany IV semester(2021-2022)**

sl no		excellent	very good	good	average	poor
1	Subject Knowledge	70	10	15	0	0
2	Communication skill	85	5	10	0	0
3	Classes interesting	90	5	5	0	0
4	Testing students knowledge	80	15	5	0	0
5	Clearing doubts	75	20	5	0	0
6	Well prepared	65	15	5	0	0
7	Covering all portions	90	10	0	0	0
8	Evaluate test paper and assignments	80	5	5	5	0
9	Using modern technology	75	20	0	0	0
10	Sincere and dedicated	65	20	5	5	0
11	Class control	70	15	5	5	0
12	Punctuality	80	10	5	5	0
13	No partiality	95	10	0	0	0
14	Job oriented education	60	30	0	0	0
15	Special care to weaker students	78	15	0	0	0
16	Help and guidance	79	15	0	0	0
17	Curricular and extra curricular advices	75	10	0	0	0
18	Kind	80	20	0	0	0
19	Additional hours	74	10	0	0	0
20	Overall	70	10	0	0	0




Analysis of the above results high lightened the areas where faculty improvement is needed. They included mainly imparting job oriented education and all other factors were found to be satisfactory. Measures were also initiated to overcome the above mentioned difficulty.

#### Department Evaluation: Botany VI semester (2021-2022)

Sl. No	Criteria	Exce llent	very good	good	aver age	poor
1	Academic atmosphere	97	2	0	0	0
2	Accuracy of internal assessment	91	9	0	0	0
3	Classroom facility	97	2	0	0	0
4	Library facility	82	13	5	0	0
5	Laboratory facility	86	13	0	0	0
6	ICT enabled classroom facility	91	9	0	0	0
7	Teacher student relationship	86	13	0	0	0
8	Conducting extension activities/workshops/seminars	82	2	0	0	0
9	Participation in teaching learning process	86	13	0	0	0
10	Overall performance of teachers	91	4	0	0	0
11	Overall rating of department	86	13	0	0	0






### **Department evaluation and action plan taken report of VI semester students of 2021-22:**

Feedback for Department evaluation was collected from VI semester B.Sc. Botany students. Areas needed special attention includes facility of library, laboratory and conduction of extension activities, workshop and seminars. The matter was discussed in the Department level meeting and measures were taken for the improvement of above mentioned areas.

### Curriculum Evaluation :Botany VI semester(2021-2022)

Sl. No	Criteria	Excell ent	very good	good	avera ge	poor
1	Depthofsyllabus	87	8	4	0	0
2	ExtendofcoverageofProgram	60	25	13	0	0
3	Relevanceof study	62	21	0	13	0
4	Learningvaluesin termsofknowledge,skills and abilities	76	10	13	0	0
5	Clarity&relevanceoftextual readingmaterial	91	2	7	0	0
6	Relevanceof librarymaterial	86	13	0	0	0
7	Motivationgeneratedtogoforhigherstudies	69	21	9	0	0
8	Takingcareofstudent's requirements	65	26	9	0	0
9	Relevanceofcurriculuminempoweringstudents	91	2	11	0	0
10	Totakeupchallenges of modernlife	86	2	11	0	0
11	Overallratingofcurriculum	82	4	8	4	0




**Curriculum evaluation and action plan taken report of VI semester BSc Botany students of 2021-22:**

Analysis of the above results high lightened the areas where improvement is needed. They included extend of coverage of program and relevance of study. The matter was discussed in the Department level meeting and measures were taken to bring the attention of the Board of studies in the above matters.

**Feedback Analysis of Faculty :Botany VI semester(2021-2022)**


sl no		excellent	very good	good	average	poor
1	Subject Knowledge	75	10	10	0	0
2	Communication skill	65	5	10	15	0
3	Classes interesting	85	5	5	5	0
4	Testing students knowledge	80	15	5	0	0
5	Clearing doubts	75	10	5	10	0
6	Well prepared	90	15	5	0	0
7	Covering all portions	70	10	20	0	0
8	Evaluate test paper and assignments	80	5	5	5	0
9	Using modern technology	75	20	5	0	0
10	Sincere and dedicated	60	20	10	10	0
11	Class control	70	15	10	5	0
12	Punctuality	80	10	5	5	0
13	No partiality	90	10	0	0	0
14	Job oriented education	58	10	20	20	0
15	Special care to weaker students	65	15	5	0	0
16	Help and guidance	79	15	6	0	0
17	Curricular and extra curricular advices	75	10	4	0	0
18	Kind	80	20	0	0	0
19	Additional hours	70	10	10	10	0
20	Overall	80	10	5	15	0



Analysis of the above results high lightened the areas where faculty improvement is needed. They included mainly imparting job oriented education and special care to weaker students. Measures were also initiated to overcome the above mentioned difficulty.

**Exit feed back – IIIDC Botany (2021-22)**

<b>Characteristics</b>	<b>Score</b>
Innovative teaching methods	86
Effectiveness of online class	85
Seminars,workshops	80
Library facility	88
Laboratory facility	88
Computer and internet facility	70
Canteen facility	82
Sports facility	90
Cooperative store	85
Interaction with office staff	90
Cleanliness//ambience in college	80
Clubs/committee/NSS/NCC/cells/units	90
Remedial coaching	85
PTA activities	90
Tutorial system	95
Career guidance/counselling	82
Student support program	88
Drinking water availability	90
Wash room/toilet facility	80
Overall impression	86




### **Exit feedback evaluation and Action Taken Report of Third year B.Sc. Botany (2021-22)**

From the above analysis, criteria like computer and internet facility, washroom/toilet facility, Career counseling, and Workshops/ seminars need special attention. The Department discussed the matters with the Principal and Management to take appropriate measures to improve these facilities. In addition to that to improve the academic atmosphere, other criteria like remedial coaching, online classes, and their effectiveness also need special attention. The department has taken appropriate steps and authorized the teachers to adhere to the action plan of the department for improvement of the aforementioned criteria.

**PARENTS FEEDBACK EVALUATION -III YEAR B.Sc. BOTANY (2021-22)**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Score (%)</b>
1	Quality and relevance of the program	90
2	Syllabus and content of the program	92
3	Quality of teaching	90
4	Teacher-student relationship	96
5	Transparency of evaluation	90
6	Achievements and outcomes of the ward	85
7	Availability of books in the library	88
8	Assisting students with scholarship/concessions	95
9	Placements	70
10	Academic atmosphere	90



**Parent feed back Evaluation and Action Taken Report of Third year B.Sc. Botany (2021-22)**

From the above analysis, criteria like placement, achievements, and outcomes of students and availability of books in the library need special attention. The Department discussed the matters with the Principal and Management to take appropriate steps to improve the above-mentioned facilities. Department has also taken appropriate steps and authorized the teachers to adhere to the action plan of the department for improvement in the concerned criteria.